UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

WILLIAM E. MCKANRY,)	
Movant,)	
v.)	No. 4:12-CV-64 CAS
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Respondent.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on federal prisoner William McKanry's motion for appointment of counsel in his action to vacate, set aside or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

It is well established that a movant in a § 2255 proceeding has no constitutional right under the Sixth Amendment to representation by counsel. <u>United States v. Craycraft</u>, 167 F.3d 451, 455 (8th Cir. 1999) (citing <u>McClesky v. Zant</u>, 499 U.S. 467, 494 (1991)). "The provisions of the Sixth Amendment regarding the right to counsel 'in all criminal prosecutions' is not applicable to movants under 28 U.S.C. § 2255." <u>McCartney v. United States</u>, 311 F.2d 475, 476 (7th Cir. 1963). <u>See also Ford v. United States</u>, 363 F.2d 437 (5th Cir. 1966). "The fact that a motion under § 2255 is a further step in the movant's criminal case rather than a separate civil action . . . does <u>not</u> mean that proceedings upon such a motion are of necessity governed by the legal principles which are applicable at a criminal trial regarding such matters as counsel, presence, confrontation, self-incrimination and burden of proof." Advisory Committee Note, 1976 Adoption (emphasis in original.)

The appointment of counsel in a § 2255 proceeding is a matter solely within the discretion of

the court. United States v. Degand, 614 F.2d 176 (8th Cir. 1980); Tweedy v. United States, 435

F.2d 702, 703-04 (8th Cir. 1970) (citing Fleming v. United States, 367 F.2d 555 (5th Cir. 1966)).

Normally these collateral attacks do not warrant the appointment of counsel. Tweedy, 435 F.2d at

703-04.

In the present case, the undersigned has examined the ineffective assistance of counsel claims

raised by Movant in his motion. The undersigned has also examined the underlying criminal case with

respect to Movant's claims. A thorough review of these materials shows that the appointment of

counsel is not warranted in this case.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Movant William E. McKanry's Motion for Appointment

of Counsel is **DENIED**. [Doc. 3]

CHARLES A. SHAW

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Chal & Seaw

Dated this 9th day of February, 2012.